

Motion 1 To adopt the 2025 interim WSC and the 2026 WSC only: If a motion has consensus in an initial straw poll that has 80% or more support or not support the co-facilitators will announce the results as the final decision.

So what this means is that for this interim meeting and for the next conference if we do an initial straw poll as a conference participant right before the conference about how this body feels on motion. So if Motion One passes and you and they have 80 % we're not going to talk about that motion passing, there won't be any voice of opposition of what the 20% said it'll just be that's the decision. Versus how consensus based decision making is read now, that even though they have an 80% will still take another straw poll, if two make a final decision is how the procedure runs now. So the PRO is that we could save time in the WSC, That's kind of the intent that the board is coming from. The 2nd PRO is this is a temporary measure only for the interim 2025 WSC and the 2026 WSC. The CON is 20% of a global Fellowship is a lot of people, and the fact that we don't hear from anyone about the opposition is pretty concerning, the 2nd CON There has been examples in the past where the straw poll was 80% and when they asked for more information from the opposed 20% the 80% dropped after discussion. That is the view of both sides like some of the fellowship not being heard is the CON and then the PRO is that it is a more time efficient way of conducting business.

Motion 2 To approve the 2023 World Service conference minutes

This is a pretty straight forward motion to approve the minutes from the 2023 WSC.

Motion 3 To approve the 2025 to 2026 Narcotics Anonymous World Services Inc budget

It says kind of briefly there's like a 2 & 1/2 % increase for contribution 7 & 1/2 % increase for literature income and 11 & 1/2% increase for literature expenses 5% increase for WS expenses it looks like the world convention lost \$ that's pretty much it. There is a line item that was added in the CAT for the last conference for the most part; those are just the nominal changes that don't affect the fellowship.

Motion 4 To extend the 2023 to 2025 reimbursement policy through the 2026 fiscal year

Here they're just asking for an extension of the current reimbursement policy

Motion 5 To adopt for the current conference cycle only: the 2026 WSC we will take a new step in strategic planning by using a revised process (described in a report) for the CAR survey to consider ideas for Recovery literature service material and issue discussion topics, instead of submitting motions for project plans to create specific pieces of service material, recovery literature, or IDT's for the 2026 conference agenda report conference participants will submit those ideas for possible inclusion the 2026 car survey.

So for those that are familiar or not familiar with the way that we create literature right now is, we submit a motion, usually a regional motion to create a project plan to start some type of literature, and starting in 2016 we have this car survey where we prioritize what IDT's we want, what service literature, and recovery material we want and if the motion passed then it was also prioritized the board would work on that first, so what this motion is saying is that instead of putting in the motion at all that we just put it all in the car survey. It's a really big deal procedurally for recovery literature, service material, and IDT. It's very different from just having a motion. The 1st PRO is we've approved motions in the past on recovery material (for example the women's IP, women in recovery) and because it was not prioritized in the CAR survey it has never been worked on. Though it was approved as a regional motion in the CAR that the entire Fellowship voted on, as there is not enough staff to do all of this work with all of these ideas that the fellowship has. This could be a way to then hold everybody accountable to get things done. The 2nd PRO is that, from the world board's perspective, by using the CAR survey it's a more inclusive process as it is sent out to every member in the world, and they get to prioritise what recovery material they think is needed, and the entire fellowship gets to vote on that. The 1st CON is once we try this out it could be the way and then we leave out this whole section of people or an area from putting in a motion. The 2nd CON is this is a motion for the interim report versus us having time to workshop and maybe consider it for the 2026 CAR where we have a significantly larger amount of time to consider it.

Motion 6 To update a Guide to World services in NA referred to as GWSNA update deadline policy to include first draft to 45 days in advance of the World Service conference and final Amendment deadline 30 days in advance of the WSC

PRO to this is that we might have more than time for all of the bodies to consider the amendment that's made, because the amendment is voted on first and then the motion is voted on. So it gives people more time to 1 workshop and develop the draft of the amendment and then have a final. The CON is that there's magic that happens when we get together, and if this procedure changes is made then we wouldn't have time possibly to get into an amendment in after that discussion period.

Motion 7 Is pretty much the same it's 30 days for a first draft 15 days for the final but it's for the interim specifically so the motion 6 is for the actual conference motion 7 is for the interim conference so same PRO's and CON's

Motion 8 To add the following language defining amendments to the CAR and CAT related paragraphs in GWSNA Pages 13 and 14 it currently reads an amendment to a motion is a change or addition designed to improve the motion. what's being asked to add is the purpose of an amendment is to refine a motion to move the fellowship closer towards consensus an amendment should clarify add or subtract language that keeps the motion consistent with this intent an amendment shall not replace a motion or introduce a substitute or contrary motion a motion to split or divide emotions is an amendment to the motion.

Pro is now we know what an amendment is in more specific language.

CON is who then do we give the power to say this is adding vs not adding it's very subjective.

Motion 9 To make the following changes regarding amendments and replacements of motions during the decision-making process to an addendum F in GWSNA. The conference may decide to replace or amend motions that have been presented based on prior discussion, when the motion is presented the WSC co-facilitator may offer a replacement or amendment or offer a suggestion to the conference during the discussion of a motion if supported by 2/3 of the conference the replacement or amendment will be accepted the WSC co-facilitator may interrupt this simplified process at any time they believe such action is warranted.

PRO as the co-facilitator can offer a different option to help possibly solve a sticking point that would otherwise hinder the motions ability to move forward to resolution. CON it takes out recognizing a participants ability to offer that and giving the co facilitator more power over the proceedings.

Motion 10 All candidates for World service positions are forwarded to the HRP from region zonal forums or the world board which is also known as an RBZ

The current practice that most, if not all candidates go through the HRP which is the human resources panel they go through this process of getting interviewed, they have to submit a service resume, and so the RBZ nomination is that it has to come from a region zone or a board, then go through the HRP. The PRO is that the HRP is validating these candidates and they're coming from a body in our service structure and not a random person that's nominating themselves. The CON of this motion is that it will remove the ability of a qualified candidate to not be nominated on the floor by the conference participants. CON 2 interim is not enough time for consideration.

Motion 11 To redefine the purpose of the world pool to be used to retain information on candidates forwarded for consideration by region, zones, and the world board as part of the human resource panels nomination process. PRO if motion 10 is adopted the world pool would be obsolete and need to be redefined to only store information on candidates. CON if the world pool is only used to store information on candidates we are missing out on a great resource to select people with experience for projects, workgroups, or other useful tasks.

Motion 12 To not utilize the seating work group for the 2023-2026 cycle

there's always been a seating work group to seat a new region or new zone at the WSC. This work group would go through the criteria required and come up with recommendations to the board if they should be seated or not. (example prior was there were 5 new regions or zones that were asking to be seated, the work group said 3 should be seated, the board said none, but then the conference seated all 5.) PRO is let's try it out, let's seat everybody so it's not wasting resources. CON is we should take all of the time regardless take them through a criteria and should have a work group because when we seat somebody they are part of our group conscience of making these decisions on these motions that have an impact on the fellowship as a whole.